

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,493	06/29/2001	Tony J. Lee		7230	
7590 09/01/2006  Cook Alex Mcfarron Manzo Cummings & Mehler LTD. 200 West Adams Street Suite 2850 Chicago, IL 60606			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ZHENG, EVA Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cincugo, 1D 00000			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A Comment of the Comm			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
_	09/895,493	LEE, TONY J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eva Yi Zheng	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	?1 June 2006.				
	This action is non-final.				
	, ·				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-15</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-15</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to		• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 6/21/06, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-4, and 6-15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable by Pozzuoli (US 5,982,595).
- a) Regarding to claim 1, Pozzuoli disclose a system for communicating data protection and control information between a first and a second protective relay operating on respective first and second portions of a power line of an electric power system (Col 1, L 11-20), each of the first and second protective relays monitoring the electric power system (abstract; Fig.1), the system for communicating comprising:

a first communication channel and a second communication channel extending between the first and second protective relays, the first and second communication Application/Control Number: 09/895,493 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

channels-providing bi-directional protection and control information transmission between the first and second-relays (14a and 14b in Fig.1; Col 2, L30-50);

means for applying the protection and control information (Col 3, L17-40); and a switch at each of the first and second relays (inherent in networked protective relays, Col 1, L11-20), the switch having a first position such that the protection and control information from the first communication channel controls, an associated relay output, and the switch having a second position such that the protection and control information from the second communication channel controls the associated relay output, selection of one of either the first position and the second position determined by an indication that one of either the first communication channel and the second communication channel is faulty (Col 3, L41-Col 4, L22; Fig.2).

wherein control of the associated relay output is continuous when the switch transitions between the first and second positions (as shown in Fig. 1 and 2).

b) Regarding to claim 3, Pozzuoli disclose wherein the first and second communication channels are not identical (as shown in Fig. 1).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pozzuoli (US 5,982,595).

Regarding to claim 2, Pozzuoli discloses all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of the first and second communication channels are identical. However, such limitation is merely a matter of design choice and would have been obvious in the system of Pozzuoli. Pozzuoli disclose a communication system with protective relays transmit and receive signals over a primary communication channel, which switch to secondary communication channel when a fault is detected. The limitation in claim 2 does not define a patentably distinct invention over that in Pozzuoli since both invention as a whole and Pozzuoli are directed to backup communication channel in faulty environment. Whether or not the channels are identical is inconsequential for the invention a whole and present no new or unexpected results. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to have the first and second communication channels identical in Pozzuoli. By doing so, provide efficiency and avoid loss of data in transmitting and receiving in communication system.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pozzuoli (US 5,982,595), in view of Feller (US 2001/0015107).

Regarding to claim 4, Pozzuoli discloses wherein the protection and control information transmitted on the first and second communication channels undergoes filtering (18 in Fig. 1) and logic processing (inherent in 12 of Fig. 1) so that the protection and control information is processed identically.

Pozzuoli discloses all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of alignment of the channels. However, Feller, disclose a communication system for reliability, wherein the first and second channels comprise phase detector (342 and 344)

Page 5

in Fig. 4A), which detect phase error of the channels (this constitute as alignment of channels). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to implement the

alignment teaching by Feller in the system of Pozzuoli. By doing so, detect phase offset

of signals and provide more reliably and stable communication system.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-15 are allowed.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

None of the prior art teaches or suggests a communication system comprises first and second relays utilizing a first and second communication channel. The first relay comprising: a local sample circuit configured to generate a local stream in response to receipt of an electrical current signal from a local power line portion; a first processing circuit coupled to the local sample circuit and the first communication channel, it is configured to align, filter and compare the local stream and a first remote stream from the second relay to generate a first logic value; a second processing circuit coupled to the local sample circuit and the second communication channel; a selection logic circuit operatively coupled to the first and second processing circuits, the selection logic circuit configured to determine a control signal based on the first channel status

Art Unit: 2611

and the second channel status, the control signal applied to an output contact of the first relay.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eva Y Zheng whose telephone number is 571-272-3049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Art Unit: 2611

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eva Yi Zheng Examiner Art Unit 2611

August 29, 2006

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER